

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/931,302	ATKIN, STEVEN EDWARD
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Marc R Filipczyk	2161

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Marc R Filipczyk.

(3) ____.

(2) Robert H. Frantz.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 18 November 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Previous actions and amendments of record.

Claims discussed:

Pending claims 1-12

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



SAFET METJAHIC

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Further explanation regarding meta normal form and incorporating it in to the claims. Agent Frantz submitted a summery of the correspondences that were conducted with the Examiner during November 16 and 18, and Examiner confirms that the content of the summery which is attached hereto is accurate..